Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/698,482	ISHIDA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Scott Haugland	3654
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	
(1) Robert Jackson.	(3)	
(2) <u>Scott Haugland</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 August 2007</u> .		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's represen	tative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	· ·
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: APA, Taubenberger	, Focke et al.	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h	)□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the gene reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant will amend it unit into contact with paper during backfeeding and prove printing. Examiner will reconsider obviousness of comb Applicant's arguments and proposed amendment.</u>	ndependent claims to speci vides separation of the buffe	fy that control unit moves buffer or unit from the paper during
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where n allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attac	o copy of the amendments	er agreed would render the claims that would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGINTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS I FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	the last Office action has all ER OF ONE MONTH OR TH NTERVIEW SUMMARY FO	ready been filed, APPLICANT IS HIRTY DAYS FROM THIS DRM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
	SUPERVIS	AUL RODRIGUEZ SORY PATENT EXAMINER
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's	Manufaul signature, if required